

Parallel Resistorless Analog-to-Digital Converter

Maria Stanoeva, Angel Popov, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—A parallel analog-to-digital converter architecture is investigated, which is based on CMOS inverters used as comparators. The comparator threshold voltage depends on the ratio of transistor areas. A stage with a so-called “dynamic hysteresis” is added to the design to increase the noise immunity. The advantages of such ADC are its fully digital structure, the lack of resistor ladder and the asynchronous mode of operation, which diminishes both area and power and makes it suitable for system-on-chip solutions. The static and dynamic characteristics of the device are examined and some recommendations for future investigation are outlined.

Index Terms—parallel ADC, CMOS inverter, dynamic hysteresis

I. INTRODUCTION

THE bursting development of mixed-signal system-on-chip applications leads to a growing need of more sophisticated in terms of speed, area, noise immunity, etc., circuit designs. This is particularly important in ADC design, which is often the bottleneck in the design of SOC solutions. The flash architectures constructed of latched comparators are preferred for their speed and inherent monotonicity, but suffer from large area and power dissipation. In addition, the latched comparators cause substantial noises on the input signal (kickback noise [7]) and on the supply voltage lines. The present work focuses on the design of high noise immunity resistorless ADC with lower noise generation on the supply voltage lines. It is organized as follows: in Sect. I an overview of the problem is presented, Sect. II describes the method for V_{THI} determination, Sect. III gives the circuit analysis results.

In earlier works [1,3,6] a resistorless parallel ADC (Fig. 1) was proposed that uses simple CMOS inverters instead of comparators. It operates asynchronously, i.e. the switching of the 2^n comparators is more or less uniformly distributed with respect to the input signal. Thus the noises on the power supply lines are reduced and the total power consumption compared to the pure flash ADC may be diminished from 2 to 5 times depending on the input signal shape. Another advantage of the ADC is its smaller size compared to the traditional flash architectures.

In [2] a similar architecture is proposed. It consists of two cascaded threshold inverter comparators (TIC) and a gain boosting stage. The comparator threshold voltages V_{THI} are

obtained by simulation. In standard 0.25μ CMOS technology




Fig. 1 Parallel resistorless ADC

the authors obtain an operation speed of 1 GSPS.

The present paper applies the method for standard 0.18μ and 0.07μ CMOS technology. An investigation of the static and dynamic behavior of the ADC is performed to explore its maximal resolution and frequency of operation without missing code. The boosting stage used in [2] to increase the comparator gain was replaced by a Schmitt trigger with time controllable noise immunity developed by the authors [5,6].

II. THRESHOLD VOLTAGE DETERMINATION

The threshold voltage V_{THI} of the inverter depends on the transistor area ratio of the N-channel and P-channel MOS transistors. The analytical solution for V_{THI} given in [4] neglects the short channel effects and is not applicable for 0.18μ and 0.07μ technology. The dependence of the threshold voltage on transistor area ratio was obtained by simulation. The values of the comparator parameters were as follows: $V_{DD} = 2.5V$, $k = 0.45 \div 20$, $W_N/L_N = \text{const}$ for 0.18μ CMOS, and $V_{DD} = 1.5V$, $k = 0.2 \div 20$, $W_N/L_N = \text{const}$ for 0.07μ CMOS. The characteristic for 0.18μ CMOS technology is given in Fig. 2.

The non-shaded area of the plot corresponds to the chosen range of $0.95V$ for V_{THI} . A *PSpice* macro was used to construct the step function, from which the values of transistor area ratio k for a chosen level of quantization are generated




Fig. 2. Inverter threshold voltage V_{THI} as a function of transistor area ratio k

automatically. The procedure can be easily adapted to different transistor models, input voltage full-scale range and ADC resolution.

III. ADC INVESTIGATION

The method of area size determination was applied for a level49 BSIM3v0.0 0.18 μ CMOS technology with minimum square size of 0.44 μ and 0.07 μ CMOS technology with minimum square size of 0.2 μ [8]. As an example a 3-bit ADC was simulated and eight values of k were determined (Table 1).

TABLE I
TRANSISTOR AREA RATIO

0.18 μ CMOS, $V_{FSR} = 0.95V$			0.07 μ CMOS, $V_{FSR} = 0.7V$		
V_{THI} , V	k	P \square /N \square	V_{THI} , V	k	P \square /N \square
1.06875	0.644	0.18 / 0.28	0.6125	0.377	0.07 / 0.19
1.1875	0.911	0.18 / 0.19	0.7	0.577	0.07 / 0.12
1.30625	1.311	0.24 / 0.18	0.7875	0.866	0.07 / 0.08
1.425	1.889	0.34 / 0.18	0.875	1.333	0.09 / 0.07
1.54375	2.711	0.49 / 0.18	0.9625	2.088	0.15 / 0.07
1.6625	4.111	0.74 / 0.18	1.05	3.377	0.24 / 0.07
1.78125	6.155	1.11 / 0.18	1.1375	5.577	0.39 / 0.07
1.9	9.822	1.77 / 0.18	1.225	9.666	0.68 / 0.07

It can be seen that comparator areas do not follow the uniform resistor ladder voltages by a binary exponential law, as it could be expected. The comparator size depends only on the input voltage full-scale range. For instance, for $V_{FSR} = 950$ mV, the top-most level comparator transistor area ratio k is $k_{max} = 9.882$, regardless of the chosen resolution which leads to a substantial ADC area saving.

A. Transfer characteristics

The values of the threshold voltages V_{THI} were recorded at the point of the transfer characteristic where the input voltage of the inverter equals its output voltage. A grid of equally spaced characteristics was obtained. Afterwards, as in [2], a stage of two cascaded inverters was used to increase the gain and to sharpen the transfer characteristic (Fig. 3). For the boosting inverter a transistor area ratio $8/4$ was chosen so that the switching occurs at half of the input voltage full scale range and the rising and falling edge delays are approximately equal.




Fig. 3. Threshold voltage grid for a 3-bit ADC with two cascaded inverters

B. Inverter dynamic behavior

The time-domain analysis shows that the proposed ADC may operate at speeds up to approximately 1GHz. For an input signal with frequencies higher than 0.1GHz however, a shifting occurs in inverter switching due to its finite gain. That

is, the switching of the comparators for the rising and the falling edge occurs at different threshold voltages which may lead to subsequent decoding errors.

The inverter delays for each bit of the ADC have been investigated. The rising edge delay is constant, because of the constant size of the NMOS transistor, while the falling edge delay depends strongly on the increasing size of the PMOS transistor and is dominant for the overall dynamic behavior of the inverter for larger values of k . The delays for 0.18 μ CMOS technology are approximately 3 times higher than the ones for 0.07 μ CMOS.

C. Schmitt trigger with dynamic hysteresis

The second inverter was then replaced by a boosting stage consisting of a Schmitt trigger with time-controllable noise immunity and a single threshold [3,5,6]. It consists of a CMOS Schmitt trigger and a delayed negative feedback loop (Fig. 4).




Fig. 4. Schmitt trigger with dynamic hysteresis

The trigger operates as follows: after the switching of the input inverter through the positive feedback (PF) the output inverter pulls up or down the voltage of the intermediate point "1" and thus the output is insensitive to eventual noises on the input signal. The period of insensibility depends on the odd number of inverters connected to the trigger output. Afterwards the delayed negative feedback (DNF) turns off the positive feedback and the output becomes sensitive again to changes on the input signal. This mode of operation is called by the authors "dynamic hysteresis", because the trigger switches at the same threshold voltage for rising and falling signal, and the hysteresis occurs in time, rather than on the input voltage.

To obtain the transfer characteristics of the Schmitt trigger, the delayed negative feedback must be switched off, i.e. the N-channel and P-channel transistors that it commands are tied to the power supply voltage and to the ground voltage respectively. The resulting characteristic is given in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5. Threshold voltage grid for a 3-bit ADC with an inverter followed by a Schmitt trigger with dynamic hysteresis




Fig. 6. Maximal noise magnitude that can be suppressed as a function of k

It can be seen that the gain of the Schmitt trigger circuit is significantly greater than that of the inverter booster.

The same settings are used to obtain the width of the hysteresis of the trigger in dependence of transistor area ratio k for the two investigated technologies (Fig. 6). The value of the hysteresis for each bit of the ADC corresponds to the maximal magnitude of the noise on the input signal that can be suppressed during the switching of the comparator (Fig. 7). Any noise with larger magnitude leads to an erroneous spike in comparator output which may produce an error during the subsequent conversion of the thermometer code to code 1-of- n (Fig. 8).




Fig. 7. Successful noise suppression (solid) and erroneous output of the inverter circuit (dashed)

Previous experiments prove that with appropriate transistor sizing of the Schmitt trigger the noise immunity for the rising and the falling edge of the input signal may be equalized [6].




Fig. 8. Noise with larger magnitude leads to erroneous switching of Schmitt trigger (solid) and inverter (dashed)

D. Comparator dynamic behavior

The investigation of the comparator delays for each bit of the ADC is given in Fig. 9 for 0.18 μ CMOS technology. It can be seen that the circuit with two cascaded inverters shows a larger delay than the one with a Schmitt trigger.




Fig. 9. Comparator delays as a function of k for an inverter booster (dashed) and a Schmitt trigger booster (solid)

In Fig. 10, the output of the ADC in code 1-of- n is given for an inverter boosting stage and a dynamic hysteresis Schmitt trigger stage. It can be seen that the output of the inverter stage is distorted and for higher frequencies may cause erroneous further decoding due to magnitude failures or to variation of the exact moment of the switching. On the other hand for lower frequencies it may be preferred for its simpler design and less power consumption in comparison with the dynamic hysteresis Schmitt trigger.




Fig. 10. ADC output in code 1-of- n for the inverter (dashed) and the Schmitt trigger (solid)

IV. CONCLUSION

Some aspects of the design of a high-speed parallel resistorless ADC based on CMOS inverters were investigated. The operation of the ADC is verified for 0.18 μ and 0.07 μ CMOS technology. Its static and dynamic behavior was explored. The implemented example proves the benefits of the design which make it suitable for SOC applications, with smaller size and power consumption.

The results of the ADC investigation show that scaling of the design may be a challenge. Although its dynamic behavior is weakly affected, its full scale range voltage and its noise immunity decrease.

The results obtained so far provide the grounds for making the following conclusions and outlining the trends for future research:

- The delays of the different comparator stages are different and may cause missing codes for higher frequencies.
- The influence of the technological process variation as well as the truncation or rounding of transistor area ratio k needs more comprehensive investigation especially for smaller die sizes.

- A drawback of the comparator is that the input is not differential. This can be mitigated by a differential amplifier at the ADC input. The same amplifier could be used to shift the analog input voltage to the ADC voltage range.
- An optimal solution for subsequent code conversion as well as the synchronization of the ADC output has to be elaborated.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Stanoeva, A. Popov, "Investigation of a Parallel Resistorless ADC", in *Proc. of the International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies CompSysTech'05*, June 2005, Varna, Bulgaria. (in press)
- [2] J. Yoo, K. Choi, A. Tangel, "A 1-GSPS CMOS Flash A/D converter for system-on-chip applications", *IEEE Computer Society Workshop on VLSI*, pp. 135-139, April 2001.
- [3] A. Popov, D. Manova, "A parallel resistorless CMOS ADC", in *Proc. of 6th International Scientific and Applied Science Conference ELECTRONICS'97*, book 1, pp. 204-209, September 1997, Sozopol, Bulgaria.
- [4] N. H. E. Weste, K. Eshraghain, *Principles of CMOS VLSI design. A system's perspective*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley Pub. Comp., 1993
- [5] G. Panov, D. Manova, A. Popov, "Comparator with dynamic hysteresis", *Electronics Letters*, September 1999, Vol. 35, No. 18, pp. 1497-1498.
- [6] A. Popov, D. Manova, G. Panov, "Asynchronous Comparator Circuits for Parallel Analog-to-Digital Converters", in *Proc. of the IXth Int. Symp. on Systems Theory, Robotics, Computers & Process Informatics - SINTES 9*, June 4-6, 1998, Craiova, Romania, Vol. III, pp. 153-160.
- [7] B. Razavi, *Principles of Data Conversion System Design*, IEEE Press, 1995.
- [8] <http://www-device.eecs.berkeley.edu/~ptm>

Maria Stanoeva is a PhD student in the Computer Systems Department at the Technical University of Sofia. She has published 4 papers in the area of mixed-signal design.

Angel Popov (M'95) is Assoc. Professor in the Computer Systems Department at the Technical University of Sofia. He has given lectures in TU-Luxembourg [1987] and TU-Delft [2003-2005]. Prof. Popov has over 80 journal and conference publications in the area of digital and mixed-signal circuit design.